

# 3809 REPORT OF INSPECTION



Date: August 16, 2006 Time: about 11:20 am Location: T.5S. R.24E. Sec 6 S2 of Lot 8

Operators present during inspection: No

Serial no. of notice: UTU 66357 (Bluebell Oil Co project area) Is the operation active? No (expired)

Description of operations (including access, reclamation, etc.): The appearance of the project area is essentially the same as observed during the April 27, 2005 inspection (except that there was no evidence of recent OHV travel and some volunteer desirable vegetation and mostly undesirable vegetation [cheatgrass and Russian thistle mostly had emerged). A few weeks earlier, I had met with Bud Covington and Sondra Waller to discuss the status of the expired [notice level] operations in the area and presented Sondra with a copy of the seed mixture that lists the species that need to be planted. Images from this inspection are attached.

Is the operation in compliance with the notice on file and/or the stipulations of the approved plan? (if No describe deficiencies): No. Seeding has not been conducted.


	signature	name/title	date
Inspector		Peter Sokolosky/Geologist	<u>10/23/2006</u>
Management		Howard Cleavinger/AFFMMR	<u>10-24-2006</u>
Attachment:	digital images		
Distribution:	original to surface management case file UTU66357 Copy to UDOGM (S/047/050)		

RECEIVED


NOV 02 2006

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING





< figure 1, view to west (from near SE corner of project area); southern part of project area is against road at left; western part of project area is over edge (pointed to by red arrow; to near side of the Green River – far bank of which is the green band of vegetation in this image), but which is not into the flood plain; southeastern part of project area (in foreground) was not subjected to the last placer activity on location (referred to a naturally revegetating [black arrow points at it] area below; has not been seeded by the operator, has some desirable native volunteer vegetation emerging, but at a lesser density as nearby undisturbed land). Compare with frame 1 of 2005 inspection



< figure 2, view to west-northwest (pan to right of image 1), area that was reshaped in 9/2004 is from far right-center to middle part of image (naturally revegetating area is to left, of greener patch at far-right – this patch is composed mostly of cheatgrass which is emerging)

Compare with frame 2 of 2005 inspection



< figure 3, view to northwest (pan to right of image 2), northeast corner of project area is near the center of the image (pointed to by black arrow). Reshaped area [that has yet to be seeded and has a cover of cheat grass is in the middle-ground.

Compare with frame 3 of 2005 inspection.



### 3809 REPORT OF INSPECTION

Date: July 28, 2006 Time: about 11:00 am Location: T.3S., R.21E., Sec. 29 NWNW

Operator(s) present during inspection: No

Serial no. of notice: UTU 66366 (Dale Stevens expired project area) Is the operation active? No

Description of operations (including access, reclamation, etc.): The operator had filled the shallow excavation at the base of a rock ledge (see image 1 below, compare with image 1 of prior inspection). The scattered pile of wood and a few tires nearby (image 2 below) have yet to be removed.





image 1 – filled opening



image 2 – pile of material nearby

Is the operation in compliance with the notice on file and/or the stipulations of the approved plan? (if No describe deficiencies): Generally yes. The excavation has been filled. The case can be closed when the pile of material nearby is removed (as ordered in our 6/4/2003 certified “notice expired” decision). A letter indicating that material must be removed will be sent.

	signature	print name/title	date
Inspector		Peter Sokolosky/Geologist	9/15/06
Management acknowledgement		Jerry Kenczka/ Acting AFML&M	9-19-2006

cc: copy to UDOGM

**RECEIVED**

**NOV 02 2006**

**DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING**